



AGENDA ITEM REQUEST FORM

Item No. 14a

Development Services

Department Submitting Request

[Signature]
Zoning/Code Supervisor *[Signature]*

REGULAR COMMISSION MTG Meeting Dates - 7:00 PM

DEADLINE TO Town Clerk

ROUNDTABLE MEETING Meeting Dates - 7:00 PM

DEADLINE TO Town Clerk

- April 27, 2011
- May 24, 2011
- June 28, 2011
- July 26, 2011

- April 15 (5:00 pm)
- May 13 (5:00 pm)
- June 10 (5:00 pm)
- July 15 (5:00 pm)

- April 12, 2011
- May 10, 2011
- June 14, 2011
- July 12, 2011

- April 1 (5:00 pm)
- April 29 (5:00 pm)
- June 3 (5:00 pm)
- July 1 (5:00 pm)

*Subject to Change

- Presentation
- Resolution
- Reports
- Quasi Judicial
- Consent
- Old Business
- Ordinance
- New Business

FY2011 DESIGNATED HIGH PRIORITY ITEM

SUBJECT TITLE: Conditional Use Application – 111 Commercial Boulevard

EXPLANATION:

A new business (Diamonds and Dogs) located at 111 Commercial Boulevard, in the B-1 zoning district, has submitted an application (**Exhibit 1**) for a Conditional Use Permit for the following:

1. Pet Store, including Kenneling of Teacup and Toy Breed dogs.

Other uses proposed by the applicant at the same location, but not requiring a conditional use approval:

1. Jewelry sales for people and dogs as well as jewelry repair
2. Pet couture and accessories
3. Dog food sales

The conditional use procedures Ordinance is a fairly new procedure that was adopted on March 22, 2011, which is attached (**Exhibit 4**) for your convenience. In reviewing the application, it is our opinion that the use, as conditioned in the proposed Development Order, would promote the public health, safety, welfare, order, comfort, convenience, appearance, or prosperity of the neighborhood and there would be no adverse impact on the surrounding neighborhood as long as the proposed conditions are in place and observed by the applicant.

Outlined below are the conditions reviewed and recommended by the Planning and Zoning Board:

1. The use will be maintained and operated as provided in the applicant's letter of request submitted with the application received by the Development Services Department on March 24, 2011, attached as part of Exhibit 1.
2. No odors from dogs inside the premises shall be detectable from outside the premises.
3. No sounds from the dog's located onsite shall be detectable from outside the premises.
4. Dog excrements shall be removed daily from the cages.
5. The premises shall have a solid waste disposal container (dumpster or cart) which shall be emptied as often as necessary to keep animal waste odors from the container undetectable.
6. No outside display, cleaning, exercising or bathing of dogs is permitted.



AGENDA ITEM REQUEST FORM

Development Services

Department Submitting Request

Zoning/Code Supervisor

7. Applicant shall maintain any and all state and county licenses.
8. The Conditional Use Permit is specific to the applicant and is not transferable.
9. Dogs will be no more than ten (10) pounds.
10. The premise is limited to fifteen (15) dogs on site at any one time.
11. Dogs shall be housed at night in an enclosed sound proof sleep room with A/C and ventilation.
12. No public kenneling or boarding of dogs is allowed.
13. The premises shall be staffed from approximately 6:30 a.m. till 10:00 p.m. each day when there are animals on the premises.

In addition, pursuant to the quasi-judicial requirements of the Town Code, staff recommends the following condition:

14. This development order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Broward County by the Applicant, at his/her sole cost and expense, and a certified copy of the recorded document returned to the Town.

BOARD RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning and Zoning Board reviewed the application (**Exhibit 2**) and recommended approval with one amendment to staff's condition #2.

No odors from dogs inside the premise shall be detectable from outside the premise.

Additionally, the minutes of the meeting are attached (**Exhibit 3**)

STAFF COMMENTS:

The applicant advised that he owns and operates a pet shop located at 933 Washington Avenue, Miami Beach. Staff researched code violations that had been issued through the Miami Permit Manager system. We found since 2004 that approximately 5 violations were issued to the Miami Beach pet shop property for trash related (i.e. overflowing, trash around receptacle) issues. Staff also visited the applicants store and it was found to be well maintained with no obnoxious odors. Staff also contacted the management company who advised of no complaints or issues with their tenant.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the Conditional Use Permit with conditions 1-14 as recommended by staff and the Planning and Zoning Board.

- EXHIBITS:**
- Exhibit 1 – Application
 - Exhibit 2 – Planning and Zoning Agenda Packet
 - Exhibit 3 – Minutes of the Planning and Zoning Meeting (May 18, 2011)
 - Exhibit 4 – Conditional Use Ordinance
 - Exhibit 5 – Communications with Commission
 - Exhibit 6 – Conditional Use Development Order

Reviewed by Town Attorney
 Yes No

Town Manager Initials CS

EXHIBIT 1:
APPLICATION

Conditional Use Permit Application

Development Services
Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea
1501 Ocean Blvd.
Lauderdale-by-the-Sea, Florida 33433
Phone: (754) 465-7934

Application # 2011-LU-004

LAUDERDALE-BY-THE-SEA
RECEIVED
APR 28 2011
DEVELOPMENT
SERVICES

Date application submitted 4-28-11
Date Application found to be completed _____
Planning and Zoning Date _____
Commission Date _____
Application fee paid \$900.00

General Information

Applicants Name Dominic @ Doggie Address 111 Commercial Blvd Phone # 954-439-2003
Owners Name if not the same as the Applicant EISHOLMANS PIER INC.
Owners Address 4400 N. Ocean Blvd Phone # 954-465-7934
Property address where use will be conducted 111 COMMERCIAL BLVD

Current Property Information

Folio # _____ Zoning District B-1 Lot _____ Block _____ Subdivision LPTS
Present Use RETAIL
Hours of operation for each use 11-9
Existing total floor area per use (sq. ft.) 2,388
Required number of parking spaces for current use _____
Existing number of parking spaces 2

Proposed Use Information

Type of Use requested Pet Boutiques
Hours of operation for the proposed use 11-9
Estimated number of individuals to be employed 2-3

Applicant shall include a site plan and all applicable information outlined in Article IV of Chapter 30 of the Town's Code of Ordinances. Non applicable information outlined within Chapter 30 may be waived by the Development Services Director.

Dominic Casale Date 4/28/11
Signature (Owner/Authorized Agent)
Dominic Casale
Printed Name

TO THE ZONING AND COMMISSION MEMBERS OF LAUDERDALE BY THE SEA.

RE: DIAMONDS AND DOGGIES LLC

WE WOULD APPRECIATE YOU TAKING THE TIME TO TRY TO ALLOW US TO OPEN ANOTHER AWARD WINNING PUPPY STORE AND PET BOUTIQUE AT 111 COMMERCIAL BLVD OWNED BY ATHENA RESTAURANT OWNERS AS OUR LANDLORD..WE HAVE A CURRENT FAMILY OWNED OPERATION IN MIAMI ON WASHINGTON AVE FOR 5 YEARS WWW.LAURELSPRINGERPUPPIES.COM . WE LIVE HERE LOCALLY IN FT.LAUDERDALE BEACH AREA...ATTACHED IS OUR MAGAZINE ARTICLES FOR REFERENCE.

(PLEASE READ)

WE WOULD SELL ONLY TEACUPS AND TOY DOGGIE BREEDS, ON AND AVERAGE OF ONE TO FOUR LB BABIES AT BIRTH THAT ARE A MINIMUM OF EIGHT WEEKS OLD TO TWELVE WEEKS OLD, MAX TO TEN LBS AND ALL FROM PRIVATE REGISTERED AKC BREEDERS, AND NO PUPPY MILLS. THEY VERY RARELY BARK LOUD AT THAT AGE.WE NO FROM EXPERIENCE... WE HAVE AROUND TWELVE TO 15 BABY PUPS AT ONE TIME NORMALLY IN THE FRONT WINDOW SHOWCASES ALL SEPERATED MAINLY AGAIN ALL AIR CONDITIONED AND VENTED ..BUT WE WOULD LIKE TO HOUSE THEM AT NITE IN THE STORES ENCLOSED SOUND PROOF SLEEP ROOM WITH ALL AC AND VENTED HOUSES AGAIN ALL IN AN INSULATED QUIET ENVIROMENT GUARANTEED .. NO ONE WILL EVER NO THEY ARE THERE AT NITE, AND BECAUSE OF THE STRESS OF MOVING THEM DAILY TO AN OUTSIDE KENNEL ,IT COULD POSSIBLY INJURE THEM OR MAKE THEM HYPOGLYCEMIC WHICH IS SERIOUS...THERE WILL BE NO KENNEL STORAGE OR BOARDING FROM ANY OUTSIDERS... OUR CLEANING LADY WILL BE THERE AT SIX THIRTY AM AND LEAVE AT 2 PM SO THERE WILL ALWAYS BE SOMEONE THERE TO MONITOR , EXCEPT FOR THE PERIOD OF CLOSING TEN PM TILL SIX AM. OUR STORE IS THE CLEANEST PUPPY STORE IN ALL OF SOUTH FLORIDA VOTED BY THE HEALTH DEPT AND THE CH 4 NEWS AS IN OUR ARTICLE ATTACHED ... ALL THE BABIES USUALLY SLEEP THRU THE NITE BECAUSE OF ALL THE EXERCISE WE DO WITH THEM DURING THE DAY SHOWING CUSTOMERS AND IN OUR PLAY AREAS EVERY 2 HRS FOR EXERCISE ..THEY ARE LOVED LIKE ONE OF THE FAMILY!! BECAUSE THEY ARE! WE WILL CARRY DIAMONDS AND JEWELRY FOR PUPPIES AS WELL AS PEOPLE JEWELRY TOO! PLUS ALL REPAIRS DONE ON PREMISES ..

WE THANK YOU FOR TAKING THE TIME TO SEE OUR APPLICATION AND WOULD LIKE TO

THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH!

BEST REGARDS,

Donald Casale

DIAMONDS AND DOGGIES LLC

DOMINICK AND DOMINIQUE CASALE.

Daddy + Daughter

LAUDERDALE-BY-THE-SEA
RECEIVED

MAR 24 2011

DEVELOPMENT
SERVICES

Colleen Tyrell

From: EMarchelos@aol.com [EMarchelos@aol.com]

Sent: Tue 5/10/2011 2:56 PM

To: Colleen Tyrell

Cc: Jeff Bowman


Subject: Diamonds & Doggies llc. letter

Attachments:

Dear Colleen,

I, Louis Marchelos from Fishermans Pier Inc has signed a lease agreement with Diamonds & Doggies LLC. I am aware and fully support Mr. Casale's usage of the rental property in the selling of tea cup and toy breeds as well of the overnight boarding of the puppies he intends to sell. Any questions, please feel free to call me at 954-465-7934.

Thank You, Louis Marchelos
Fishermans Pier Inc.





LAUDERDALE-BY-THE-SEA
 RECEIVED
 APR 28 2011
 DEVELOPMENT
 SERVICES



This Award Winning Puppy Store is the most visited puppy shop by celebrities in the city of Miami Beach. Celebrities such as: The Kardashian sisters, Eva Longoria, Lenny Kravitz, Ozzy Osbourne, Shaquille O' Neal, Amber Rose, Paolo Maldini, Gloria Estefan, Robin Williams, Fat Joe, have purchased their Tea Cup puppies at Les Petits Puppies located in 933 Washington Ave in the "Heart of South Beach". This is a place where also tourists and locals are astonished by the wide variety of puppies and the purity of the breeds. The store does not purchase breeds from puppy mills. These babies come with state of Florida Health Certificates, vaccinations, breeders records, a microchip implanted and a free first visit to their five star veterinarian. The store holds an excellent qualified personnel with high knowledge in breeds and their care. Since the store is in South Beach, the glamour and the luxurious accessories with the outfits for the puppies cannot be forgotten. For those fashion lovers, you can style up your dogs before heading out or match their outfits with your new Louis Vuitton purse.

The Store has been open for 5 years already in South Beach with a great clientele. It is a pleasure to visit this store because of it's colorful and bright ambiance as well as it's cleanliness. Les Petits Puppies has been recognized by Channel 4 News in CBS Miami as the cleanest puppy boutique in all Miami Beach this December 2010. These dogs are used to being on camera all the time. One day by customers, another by commercials and magazines. These dogs are always well taken care of and maybe are a little spoiled, once they find a home they become a bit like divas.

Buying a dog is not only focusing on how cute the puppy looks. One must take into consideration their breeders, vaccines, microchip, Pedigree Certificates, and their First Vet visit. This is what makes Les Petits different. They also offer the best services in Puppy Couture, Grooming and Day Care.



KOURTNEY & KHLOE KARDASHIAN



LENNY KRAVITZ



EVA LONGORIA



AMBER ROSE

LIFE IS
GOOD

AT

Les Petits Puppies

SOUTH BEACH

933 Washington Ave

Miami Beach, FL 33139

Specializing in Teacup & Toy Breeds

Puppies • Grooming • Daycare & Pet Couture

\$150 OFF

ALL BREEDS IN STOCK


PHONE: 305 403 8405

www.lespetitspuppies.com

LES PETITS PUPPIES

LIFE IS RUFF,
BUT NOT AT
LES PETITS PUPPIES










EXHIBIT 2:

PLANNING AND ZONING

AGENDA PACKET



Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea

Development Services
4501 N. Ocean Drive
Lauderdale-by-the-Sea, FL 33308
Phone (954) 776-3611
Fax (954) 776-3431

MEMORANDUM

To: Planning & Zoning Board
Thru: Bud Bentley, Assistant Town Manager
From: Jeff Bowman, Zoning and Code Supervisor
Date: 6/2/2011
Re: Conditional Use Approval for a pet store in the B-1 Zoning District.

The purpose of this memorandum is to provide Staff's comments on the Conditional Use Application submitted by Dominic and Dominique Casale for a pet store received on March 24, 2011.

Background

Dominic and his daughter, Dominique, are in the process of renovating the store located at 111 Commercial Blvd. They will be providing the following services:

1. Jewelry sales for people and dogs as well as jewelry repair.
2. Pet couture and accessories.
3. Dog food.
4. Dog sales and related kenneling of dogs which are for sale.

The applicant is requesting a Conditional Use Permit for a pet store involving kenneling of Teacup and Toy Breed dogs. Below, I have summarized the applicant's letter to the Board:

1. Puppies will be no more than ten (10) pounds.
2. Dogs will be from registered AKC breeders.
3. Usually from twelve (12) to fifteen (15) pups on site at any one time.
4. Puppies will be housed at night in an enclosed sound proof sleep room with A/C and ventilation.
5. No public kenneling or boarding of animals.
6. The premises are staffed from approximately 6:30 am till 10:00 pm.

Conditional Use Documents

This office has reviewed the documents submitted (**Exhibit 1**) pursuant to the requirements of the Town's Land Development Code. The following documents were provided:

1. Application
2. A letter with a floor plan, drawings, printed information, and pictures.

The attached copy of Section 30-56 of the Towns Code of Ordinances (**Exhibit 2**) outlines the specific criteria for approving a Conditional Use.

Criteria and Analysis

1. Land Use Compatibility.

The proposed use, including its scale, intensity, traffic generating characteristics, and off-site impacts are compatible and harmonious with adjacent land uses. There are no residential uses immediately adjacent to the property and the use, as proposed with mitigation of noise during the overnight kenneling and subject to the conditions of this approval, will not negatively impact the surrounding neighborhood.

2. Sufficient Site Size, Site Specifications and Infrastructure

The use is proposed in an existing bay and there will be no change in the physical characteristics of the site or structure. The size and proposed layout of the store are adequate to accommodate the proposed use.

3. Compliance with the Comprehensive Plan and Code of Ordinances

The conditional use, as proposed, complies with the applicable regulations of the Code of Ordinances and is consistent with the Town's Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Regulations.

4. Proper Use of Mitigative Techniques.

The applicant has proposed, and a recommended condition of approval is, that all dogs be housed at night in an enclosed sound proof sleep room with A/C and ventilation. This significantly reduces the impacts of the proposed use. During business hours, when the dogs are in the main shop for display, any noise will be absorbed by the high level of pedestrian and vehicular traffic found in this busy commercial area. At night, when natural noise levels are reduced, the dogs will be in an enclosed sound proof sleep room, thereby mitigating any noise impacts. The conditions of approval also require timely care to mitigate any odor concerns. The recommended conditions of approval, together with the mitigative steps proposed by the applicant, will eliminate adverse impacts to the general public health, safety and welfare.

Site Plan Review and Recommendation

The proposed store, without the pet sales and overnight kenneling, would be considered a permitted specialty store. The inclusion of pet sales and the associated overnight kenneling for the dogs which are for sale requires a conditional use approval. The store is located at 111 Commercial Boulevard and in zoned B-1. There are two abutting properties which are food establishments (Kilwins = 117 Commercial Blvd. on west side of proposed use / Coco Yogurt = 107 Commercial Blvd. east side of proposed use) and two abutting properties to the rear that are parking lots.

Notification was published in the Sun-Sentinel and mailed to affected parties within 300 feet as required by the Towns Code of Ordinances 30-13 (d) (2)

Staff recommends approval of the proposed conditional use with the following conditions:

1. The use will be maintained and operated as provided in the applicant's letter of request submitted with the application received by the Development Services Department on March 24, 2011, attached as part of Exhibit 1.
2. No odors from inside the premises shall be detectable from outside the premises.
3. No sounds from the dogs located onsite shall be detectable from outside the premises.
4. Dog excrements shall be removed daily from the cages.
5. The premises shall have a solid waste disposal container (dumpster or cart) which shall be emptied as often as necessary to keep animal waste odors from the container undetectable.
6. No outside display, cleaning, exercising or bathing of dogs is permitted.
7. Applicant shall maintain any and all state and county licenses.
7. The Conditional Use Permit is specific to the applicant and is not transferable.
8. Dogs will be no more than ten (10) pounds.
9. The premise is limited to fifteen (15) dogs on site at any one time.
10. Dogs shall be housed at night in an enclosed sound proof sleep room with A/C and ventilation.
11. No public kenneling or boarding of dogs is allowed.
12. The premises shall be staffed from approximately 6:30 a.m. till 10:00 p.m. each day when there are animals on the premises.

Planning and Zoning Board
May 18, 2011 Meeting

File: U:\0 Agenda Commission & PZ Board\5-18-11 P&Z\Pet Store Conditional Use Application BD Memo.doc

EXHIBIT 3:

**MINUTES OF THE
PLANNING AND ZONING MEETING
(MAY 18, 2011)**

TOWN OF LAUDERDALE-BY-THE-SEA

PLANNING AND ZONING REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

Town Commission Meeting Room

Wednesday, May 18, 2011

6:30 P.M.

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Alfred Oldaker called the meeting to order at 6:30 P.M. Members present were Chairman Alfred Oldaker, Vice Chair Yann Brandt, Ben Freeney, Lawrence Wick, Patrick Murphy and First Alternate Eric Yankwitt. Also present were Bud Bentley, Acting Director of Development Services, and Town Attorney Kathryn Mehaffey. Board Secretary Colleen Tyrrell was present to record the minutes of the meeting.

II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES - Planning and Zoning Meeting of April 20, 2011

There being no additions, deletions or changes to the minutes, all voted in favor to approve the April 20, 2011 minutes as presented.

IV. PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no public comments.

V. NEW BUSINESS

Item #1: Conditional Use application for a pet shop in the B-1 Zoning District pursuant to Town Code Section 30-271 (b).

Chairman Oldaker opened the public hearing regarding the application for Diamonds @ Doggies for the Conditional Use approval for Application #2011-CU-004.

Town Attorney Kathryn Mehaffey briefly went over the conditional use criteria since this is the first application that has come before the Planning and Zoning Board. Attorney Mehaffey said that basically it is a use within an area that would not be appropriate without certain restrictions to ensure that the land use is compatible with the surrounding area, the surrounding businesses and the surrounding zoning district. So, through this procedure it would be the job of the Planning and Zoning Board and the Commission to ensure that any adverse impacts are completely mitigated in order to protect the surrounding neighborhood and to protect the public health, safety and welfare. Attorney Mehaffey went through the primary criteria that the Board and the Commission would consider in reviewing the application. The first one being "Land Use Compatibility" to determine if the proposed use is compatible and harmonious with the adjacent land uses. The second criteria is whether or not the site and the building are sufficient in size in the site specifications to accommodate the use. The third criteria requires that the application be compliant with the Code of Ordinances and is consistent with the Town's Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Regulations. The final criteria would be that the applicant has proposed proper use of mitigative techniques which would be things and conditions that the applicant are imposing or using in their operation to mitigate any adverse impacts. Attorney Mehaffey said that this information is in the Staff report and they will go over how this application addresses each of those factors. For this process, the application will open up with its presentation and then the public hearing will be opened up for people to speak for and against the application and then the item will be before the Board for consideration. The Board is required to make an affirmative vote either for approval, approval with modifications or denial. Attorney Mehaffey said that the Board members have the authority to ask any speakers questions throughout the public hearing.

Attorney Mehaffey said that there were five board members and one alternate present and that the alternate could participate in the discussion but was not eligible to vote.

Acting Director Bud Bentley said that Jeff Bowman, Zoning Official, was working next door on a Town project with a deadline for the following morning and was watching the hearing from his office. Mr. Bentley said that Jeff could immediately come over if anyone had any questions to address to him.

Mr. Bentley referred to the Staff report that was before the Board and reviewed the conditions that are required under the conditional uses to be evaluated. Mr. Bentley said that the first condition is Land Use Compatibility. Staff found that there is no residential use immediately adjacent to the property and with the mitigation of noise during the overnight kenneling and subject to the other conditions that are recommended, that the use would not have a negative impact on the surrounding neighborhood. The next condition is Sufficient Site Size, Site Specifications and Infrastructure and as noted in the memo, the use is in a proposed retail bay and there would be no change in the physical characteristics of the site or the structure and the size and the proposed layout of the store appeared to be adequate for the proposed use. Item #3 is the Compliance with the Comprehensive Plan and Code of Ordinances. The Staff report finds that the conditional use as proposed complies with the applicable regulations of the Code of Ordinances and is consistent with the Town's Comprehensive Plan and Land Development Regulations. Item #4 is the Proper Use of Mitigative Techniques. Mr. Bentley said that this is the crux of the matter and in most if not all conditional uses, are the conditions proposed sufficient to mitigate what we would believe were potential negative elements that may impact others. Mr. Bentley said in the criteria we have the conditions that are recommended and are they sufficient to control what they are meant to control. But, then the other one is "What have we missed?" So, it is a two-part evaluation. Mr. Bentley said that he felt that Staff exhausted what was thought to be reasonable conditions. Mr. Bentley said that the applicant is proposing that the dogs on site would be house in an enclosed sound proof sleep room and A/C and ventilation. The representation of this is that this would significantly reduce the impact of the proposed use as no noise would be detectable off site.

Mr. Bentley referred to page 3 of Staff's report that recommends the proposed conditional use with 12 conditions listed. Staff focused on noise, odors, the actual number of dogs on site, and the size of those dogs. For example, it is one thing to have 10 dogs on site that weighs less than 10 pounds and there would be quite a difference to have 10 dogs on site that may be a mix of Golden Retrievers, Dobermans and German Shepherds. If the number of dogs and the weight of the dogs were not controlled in a site that size, it could have an adverse impact fairly quickly. So, in the conditions, Staff specifies no more than 15 dogs and the dogs cannot be more than 10 pounds; there can be no odors detectible from the outside of the premises; the solid waste has to be removed to avoid any odor being detected from outside the premises and so on. Mr. Bentley concluded his comments.

Chairman Oldaker moved on to the presentation from the applicant.

Applicant Dominick Casale introduced himself and said that his daughter Dominique Casale is co-owner in the business. Mr. Casale said that they are not a pet shop and referred to the business as a puppy boutique and that they specialize in tea cups, toys and private breeds. Mr. Casale said that they do not use any dogs from puppy mills. Mr. Casale said they have had a store in South Beach for five years called "Les Petits Puppies". They won the most famous store in Dade County this November from Channel 2 and Channel 4. Mr. Casale gave the Board members a computerized site plan of the store and said that the puppies would be taken out of their cages every 2 hours and put in a play area for 20 minutes play time and then they sleep for 2 hours. Mr. Casale said that the dogs range from 1 to 4 pounds and the turnover of dogs ranges from 1 to 4 weeks. Mr. Casale said that he deals also with rescue dogs and for people that cannot afford dogs, they give away 3 or 4 dogs a month. Mr. Casale reiterated that all of the cages are air-conditioned and vented. Mr. Casale said that he has been in the jewelry business for over 30 years and that he is the most OCD person that he knew of as far as neatness and cleanliness and there would be no odor coming from the store and the garbage would be removed every day. Mr. Casale said that the largest dog they get would be a Bulldog and they are 8 to 10 pounds at birth and are usually sold in 3 to 5 days. Mr. Casale said that they would also be doing web-site sales of jewelry, puppy jewelry, puppy accessories and diamond watches. People on vacation for two weeks miss their dogs and it is so rewarding for them to come into the store to play with his puppies. Mr. Casale said that everyone's hands get sterilized before they touch the puppies. Mr. Casale said that they do not sell little dogs to people that have small children because sometimes they squeeze the puppy too tight and hurt them and that he cares more about the dogs that the people and the dogs are treated like they are part of his family. Mr. Casale said that the store would be in between Kilwin's and CoCo Yogurts and his store would be the most walked-in tourist spot and would generate business for the surrounding businesses. The jewelry industry told him that this was the first store of its kind. Mr. Casale said that Delray Beach and Boca Raton has this type of puppy store but not with jewelry.

Mr. Casale explained the layout of the store and said that each puppy cage would have a glass front and every cage would have a vacuum vent to suck out any heat and there would be a constant flow of air in the cages. Mr. Casale said that the other side of the store would be all jewelry and said that the 10 ft X 10 ft play area would be to the rear of the store. Mr. Casale asked the Board if they had any questions.

Chairman Oldaker asked how the sound-proof room fit into the plans.

Mr. Casale said that the sound-proof room would be right behind his office and said that the walls were solid concrete and that foam would be used as another sound barrier. Mr. Casale said that the dogs mostly sleep at night because they are puppies and if there were any barking, no one would hear it because the stores would be closed.

Chairman Oldaker said that area is a busy area late into the night with music playing from the restaurants and wanted to know what affect that would have on the dogs.

Mr. Casale said that his other store in South Beach is right next to Club Med and the music has not had any affect on the dogs. Mr. Casale said that the music would be down the street and with two other stores in between his and then there is a Pizzeria, the noise would not affect his store at all.

Mr. Bentley asked Mr. Casale if he had ever constructed one of the sound-proof sleeping rooms.

Mr. Casale said that he had not constructed one but it would be easily done with an acoustical ceiling with insulation and sheet rock just like he built a sound-proof room in a townhouse.

Mr. Bentley referred to the condition in Staff's report that states that no sounds from the dogs located onsite shall be detectable from outside the premises and asked Mr. Casale if he was comfortable achieving that condition.

Mr. Casale responded that it would not be a problem and mentioned that there is a dumpster right behind the store and the only people that would be in that area would be delivery people.

Mr. Bentley said that the criteria is equal to people being in the back of the store and in front of the store - the condition being that there would be no sound from the animals detected from outside the store. Mr. Bentley said that alleyway is used as a pedestrian-way between some of the Town's parking lots and the entertainment district and also the beach.

Mr. Casale said that he would do whatever was needed to meet the conditional requirements for the sound and anything else the Board would like to see done.

Mr. Yankwitt referred to condition 2 that states "no odors from inside the premises shall be detectable from outside the premises". Mr. Yankwitt felt that the Town should be more specific and to not allow the store not to have any odor would be too restrictive for the applicant and that it should be defined more clearly.

Mr. Casale responded that he would have a girl there starting at 6:30 AM to 12:00 AM cleaning the store and the dogs.

Mr. Yankwitt also thought the 10 pound weight limit for dogs should be looked at and maybe allow him to have a weight limit of 150 lbs of dogs anyway he chooses to have them and did not understand the issue.

Mr. Casale said that a full-grown dog would be a little different. Mr. Casale said that they also order Siberians, Golden Retrievers and German Shepherds and at birth they would weigh about 12 pounds. These would be special orders and they come in and are gone in 3 to 4 days. All dogs would have State of Florida Board of Health Certificates, micro-chips, full vaccinations and would be de-wormed. These dogs are well taken care of and are expensive.

Mr. Yankwitt thought it would be hard to police the weight of the dogs and to say "no odors at all" he thought the Town should allow some.

Mr. Casale said that whatever the Town wanted him to do would be fine he would handle it and he knows how clean his business is and the conditions would not present any problem.

Mr. Yankwitt said that the Board's task is not to deny, it is to change any language that is omitted or otherwise confusing and he finds that looking at "no odors" as being confusing.

Mr. Wick said that he had a problem with the noise to the dogs themselves. Mr. Wick said that you would have loud music on Friday and Saturday evenings and there would also be driving traffic and asked if this would affect the dogs.

Mr. Casale said that the dogs would be inside the store on the east and west side of the building and said that even if the front door was left open, it would not carry into the store. Mr. Casale said that he would also have music in the store and the dogs that would be in the storefront window would be constantly played with in the play area in the back and they also sleep in the back of the store.

Mr. Wick said that this would not be his idea of putting a puppy store in between an ice crème store and a yogurt store and did not think it would be the best area for a puppy store.

Mr. Casale said that the air is all vented outside at the rear of the store and each cage would be vented and there would be no odor from the dogs. Mr. Casale said that if the Board visited his store in South Beach, they would think that they were in a hospital when they walked in. Also, they use a deodorizer called "Sand and Sea" that gives a beach and ocean smell.

Chairman Oldaker referred to an exhibit in the backup material and assumed that Mr. Casale was deviating from the pet grooming and pet daycare that was stated on the page.

Mr. Casale said that he was only doing grooming for his own dogs but stated that the grooming and veterinarian were allowed at that site. Mr. Casale said that Jeff Bowman told him that grooming and veterinarian were allowed but it did not matter since he was only grooming his dogs and he had to put down grooming because he needed a license for that for the Board of Health.

Chairman Oldaker asked if that would change the whole nature of the application.

Attorney Mehaffey said that the grooming for their own dogs would not affect the application and would be considered part of a pet store which is part of the application anyway and the conditions state that there is no outside grooming.

Jeff Bowman entered the meeting and concurred with the attorney.

Mr. Murphy asked Mr. Bowman what code required for a burn wall in between the businesses and thought that would mitigate some of the sound.

Mr. Bowman said that the Fire Marshall would have to answer that question but he thought it would be a 2 hour rating.

Mr. Murphy said that if that is a 2 hour burn rating, you could actually test the decibels for the sound of a barking dog and he did not think it would be an issue.

Mr. Casale said there is a big difference between a barking dog and the bark from a baby dog and that these puppies sleep most of the time.

Chairman Oldaker closed the presentation from the applicant and asked if there were any questions from the public they would like to address to the applicant.

There being no further comments or questions from the public or from staff, the public hearing portion of the Planning and Zoning hearing was closed.

Chairman Oldaker asked the Board for their comments regarding the application for the conditional use for a pet shop.

Vice Chair Brandt said that a couple of things to note was that the conditional use at hand was the selling of dogs with taking into account Staff's recommendations. Secondly, Mr. Brandt knew that this had been discussed at the Town Commission level and he thought it was necessary to identify the difference between what the Board is required to do from what the Town Commission does at their meeting. The Board purely looks at code, ordinances, Staff recommendations, and the Comprehensive Plan to see if all of those things match with the conditional use and do all of those things comply based on what is written into the code and that the Board does not make any policy decisions. Also, with saying that, the Board is a recommendation to the final Board.

Vice Chair Brandt said that in reviewing the application, two things drew his attention. One was Staff's use of Land Use Compatibility and referred to the last line that states "will not negatively impact the surrounding neighborhood". Vice Chair Brandt compared that to what the conditional use review of the ordinance requires that states it would "promote the public health, safety, welfare, order, comfort, convenience, appearance, or prosperity of the neighborhood". Vice Chair Brandt said that the key word in that phrase was "promote" and Staff's writing that it would not negatively impact does not comply with promote. The second part was in Sub-Section 3 of the conditional use review and that is that the conditional use "shall comply with environmental, zoning, concurrency and other applicable regulations of this Code of Ordinances". Vice Chair Brandt said that while it satisfies environmental and zoning and where he thought it did not comply was that he could not find a Comprehensive Plan portion of it that complied with the economic and redevelopment goals of the area. Vice Chair Brandt said that the main goal of the Comprehensive Plan centers around tourism and promoting the vitality of the seasonal residential and business areas in the matters sensitive to the overall businesses. Vice Chair Brandt said those were the things that he would like the Board to take a look at and felt that this would eventually come down to a policy decision. Vice Chair Brandt said that he even asked the Town Attorney if there wasn't a way

for the Planning and Zoning Board to just pass it on to the Town Commission because at the end of the day this would come down to being a policy decision and would the Commission want a store selling dogs downtown. The Board would look purely at the code and whether or not it satisfies the code.

Chairman Oldaker interjected that the business was not just selling dogs.

Vice Chair Brandt said that was true but the conditional use is purely based on the selling dogs. Everything else does not require a conditional use and that the applicant could open a retail shop tomorrow and be able to get a business license through Development Services. What the Board is looking at purely centers around the dogs.

Mr. Casale was allowed to comment and responded to Vice Chair's comment regarding vitalizing the tourist area and said South Beach is one of the highest rated tourist areas and that he has more people in his store because of the dogs. Mr. Casale said that he gets at least 500 people a day and on a slow day 300 people come into the South Beach store. Mr. Casale said that he thought that in Town on a slow day he would get at least 200 people into the store only because of the dogs. Mr. Casale said that Delray Beach and Mizner Park both have puppy stores and are paying double the rent that he is paying and his store has a little bit of everything. Mr. Casale said that his store, Kilwins and Coco Yogurt would probably have 500 to 1,000 people coming in and out of these stores per night.

Vice Chair Brandt said that he owns a Tea Cup Yorkshire and said that he was not against dogs and that it really comes down that it would be a policy decision. Vice Chair Brandt said that if it was up to him, this application would not be in front of the Board and whether or not the store selling dogs should be downtown, his opinion doesn't matter because it is a policy decision.

Mr. Wick said that he went to internet to check the location of the South Beach store and noted that the adjacent stores were not food stores. Mr. Wick said that he was concerned that the pet shop would be in between two food stores and next to that there are restaurants. Mr. Wick said that if this goes through and all of a sudden there is a problem, you would have issues with the neighbors. Mr. Wick said that he also noticed a few unfavorable comments about the South Beach store. Mr. Wick said that he would not say what the comments were because they could be from one of the store's competitors. Mr. Wick said that he was not real big on the idea of putting a pet store next to Kilwins and Coco Yogurt.

Chairman Oldaker said that he thought the pet shop was a great idea and that it would bring a variety of people into Town and it would be something different other than food and T-shirts and would appeal to the smallest child and to the most elderly adult. Chairman Oldaker said that he thought that is what the Town wanted to do for the long-term and said that we were not a cookie-cutter Town and we were trying to be more imaginative to get people into Town.

Mr. Murphy concurred with Chairman Oldaker's comments and said that when you look up and down the streets you see a number of vacant stores and looking from a promotional standpoint, it is a unique way of trying to promote vitality to the area. Mr. Murphy said that if it creates a management problem he thought it could be manageable. Mr. Murphy said that to simply scoff at the idea and say that you just don't want this type of store, he thought we just had to try.

Mr. Freeny asked how the conditions recommended by Staff would be monitored over time to make sure they were complied with on a continuous basis.

Mr. Bentley said that it would be similar to how the Town monitors all code requirements and the reality of that would be usually by a complaint.

Mr. Freeny addressed the language on item 5 that states "The premises shall have a solid waste disposal container which shall be emptied as often as necessary to keep animal waste odors from the container undetectable", and said that we know that the solid waste would be emptied at least on a daily basis but how often would the dumpsters be picked up behind the store.

Mr. Bentley explained the main criteria in maintaining a dumpster and said that in this case, we were trying to produce a goal orientated condition rather than specify 3 days a week or every single day and what is recommended that the solid waste be emptied as often as necessary to keep animal waste odors from the container undetectable and that would depend on how they bag the solid waste. Mr. Bentley said that were focusing on the performance of the goal not the frequency of the removal.

Mr. Freeny said that during the applicant's presentation he heard a variety of maximum weight limits and he wanted more clarification and in the requirements it states that "Dogs will be no more than 10 pounds". Mr. Freeny said that he heard that some of the puppies could weigh up to 12 pounds.

Mr. Casale responded that Frenchies and English Bull Dogs could be anywhere from 7 to 12 pounds at birth and said that they would not be in the store for more than a week to two weeks and if it is during the rainy season, it could be 4 weeks. Mr. Casale said that after the puppies are

in the store for four weeks, it affects their quality of life and they try to do something with them on another level such as sell them on a website or give them away as a charitable donation.

Mr. Freeny said that he understood that but he wanted to make sure it was covered in the language.

Mr. Bentley said that on this point, Staff took the information provided from the applicant and that Staff has no objections should the Board want to change that to a higher weight. Staff would oppose creating something like an average because it would allow great fluctuations in the weight of one dog verses what the representation would be.

Mr. Freeny said that he just wanted to make sure that whatever is sent through as a recommendation would be the best number to accommodate what would be needed.

Mr. Bentley said that the goal was to limit it to small dogs and that has been the representation consistently through the applicant's presentation and said that Staff has no objection to an adjustment and asked for clear direction from the Board.

Mr. Freeny said that he just wanted to make sure that if it is left at 10 pounds and an issue arises sometime in the future or someone could make a complaint, he wanted to make sure the operation was set up for it now.

Mr. Bentley said that Code Enforcement would follow up on complaints for conditional use violations and said that Staff would like the Board to recommend a number that is most appropriate for the applicant and asked if there would be any adverse impact on a 10 pound dog or a 12 pound dog or a 15 pound dog.

Mr. Wick said that he did not understand why this was being discussed because the applicant was happy with the 10 pound limit.

Chairman Oldaker said that the applicant mentioned "rescue" in his presentation and asked Mr. Casale to elaborate on the "rescue" portion of the business.

Mr. Casale said that he works with a company called "Dogs Rule" that runs out of Deerfield and they give a lot of dogs away to people that can't afford to buy dogs. Mr. Casale said that he does not have dogs in the store to give away and that he will have 4 dogs in the window and 10 in a case and usually one as an alternate like a Bulldog and there would be special orders. Mr. Casale asked the Board not to worry about the 10 pounds limit because most of his dogs weigh 1 to 4 pounds maximum.

Chairman Oldaker asked Mr. Casale if he was going to have any functions like "adoption day" where people bring in several other dogs.

Mr. Casale said that when the Town closes the street, maybe he would bring some dogs outside but for the most part people come in and out of the store with their own dogs that drives his dogs crazy.

Mr. Yankwitt said that the conditions say no odors and no sounds and he still thought that was too restrictive because you have odors and sounds from restaurants. Mr. Yankwitt said that maybe the condition should say "and no fowl odors" that are detectable or what about using a sound decimal.

Chairman Oldaker asked if there was an intent that could be interpreted to satisfy Mr. Yankwitt's concern.

Mr. Bentley said that Staff would prefer that any intent be totally eliminated as much as possible. When Staff wrote these conditions, Staff was focused on odors from animals and Mr. Yankwitt's suggestion is valid and if it is consistent with the Board's wishes, the language could be changed to state "no odors from dogs from inside the premises shall be detectable from the outside of the premises".

Vice Chair Brandt commented that this application is on the conditional use for being able to sell dogs and any restrictions would be on that conditional use not the rest of the store. So, if someone wants to walk into the store with a lot of perfume that would have nothing to do with the conditional use of this application. If it means noise, it would also be based on the conditional use "noise from the dogs".

Mr. Yankwitt said that all the applicant needed was to have one complaint from someone that came into the store and then all of a sudden he has a problem.

Vice Chair Brandt again stated that the Board is not a policy making board and they were there to clarify whether or not the conditional use application has compliance with the Town's ordinances and the Comprehensive Plan and that is it. At the end of the day, this is all going to be rehashed at the policy making board and asked that this be moved forward one way or another.

Mr. Bentley asked if it was the Board's consensus to modify that condition to insert the word "no odors from the dogs inside the premises shall be detectable from outside the premises".

Board Member Lawrence Wick made a motion to pass this on to the Town Commission with the Board's recommendation to approve the Conditional Use for a pet shop in the B-1 Zoning District pursuant to Town Code Section 30-271 (b) with Staff's conditions 1 thru 12 provided in the backup material. The motion was seconded by Board Member Ben Freeny.

Board Member Lawrence Wick made an amendment to the main motion that Condition #2 in Staff's Memorandum be amended to state that "No odors from dogs from inside the premises shall be detectable from outside the premises". The motion was seconded by Board Member Ben Freeny.

In a roll call vote, all voted in favor of the amendment to the main motion. The motion carried 5 – 0.

Chairman Oldaker asked for a roll call vote to send the amended motion to the Town Commission with a recommendation to approve the Conditional Use for a pet shop in the B-1 Zoning District pursuant to Town Code Section 30-271 9 b) with Staff's conditions 1 thru 12 provided in the backup material with an amendment to add language to Staff's Condition #2 that "No odors from dogs from inside the premises shall be detectable from outside the premises".

In a roll call vote for the amended motion, the motion carried 3 – 2. Mr. Wick and Vice Chair Brandt voted in the negative.

VI. OLD BUSINESS

NONE

VII. UPDATES/BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS

VIII. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting adjourned at 7:30 p.m., May 18, 2011.

ATTEST:

Colleen Tyrrell, Board Secretary

Chairman Alfred Oldaker

Date Accepted: _____

EXHIBIT 4:

CONDITIONAL USE ORDINANCE

504 **Section 30-56. Conditional Uses Review.**

505 (a) **Generally.** A conditional use is a use that would not be appropriate without
506 restriction throughout the land use district, but which, if controlled as to number,
507 area, location, hours of operation, and relation to the neighborhood or impacted

⁴ All text in this section is new text. Additions in double underline were added between first and second reading. Deletions in ~~double strikethrough~~ were deleted between first and second reading.

508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556

vicinity, would promote the public health, safety, welfare, order, comfort, convenience, appearance, or prosperity of the neighborhood. The purpose of this Section is to ensure that a conditional use shall only be permitted on specific sites as provided in a particular zoning district or as provided in this Code, where the proposed use may be adequately accommodated without generating adverse impacts on properties and land uses within the immediate vicinity.

This Section sets forth the procedures and criteria for approval of conditional uses on specific sites. A conditional use shall be permitted only upon a finding that the proposed use satisfies the specific review criteria of this Section and other requirements of this Code.

An approval of a conditional use does not eliminate the need for other approvals, which may be required under this Code, including but not limited to site plan review. Site plan approvals shall be processed concurrently with the conditional use application (and, if required, the site plan public hearing shall be held jointly with the conditional use public hearing).

(b) **Application.** A conditional use application shall provide the following information unless waived by the Development Services Director:

- i. A copy of any existing approved site plan;
- ii. If no previously approved site plan exists, a site plan, providing the information required in Article IV of Chapter 30 of the Land Development Code, except that the Development Services Director may waive individual components of the site plan requirements;
- iii. Traffic impact study meeting the requirements of Section 30-71(a)(4);
- iv. Square feet designated (indoors or out) for each specific use;
- v. Estimated employment;
- vi. Estimated number and type of service vehicles;
- vii. Any unique facilities or structures proposed as part of site improvements; and
- viii. A description of any mitigative techniques to abate any possible adverse impacts of the proposed use on properties in the immediate vicinity including smoke, odor, noise, and other impacts.
- ix. Application fee.

(c) **Review.** The Planning and Zoning Board shall review the application and provide a recommendation for approval, modified approval, or denial to the Town Commission. The Town Commission shall follow the quasi-judicial procedures in the Code of Ordinances and shall, prior to taking action on a conditional use application, hold a quasi-judicial public hearing. The Board and/or Commission may impose conditions and safeguards, in addition to those prescribed in the Code, as they determine are necessary for the protection of the surrounding area and to preserve the spirit and intent of the Town Code and Comprehensive Plan. Notice of the public hearing shall be given and the hearing shall be conducted as provided in the quasi-judicial procedures.

557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605

(d) **Specific Criteria for Approving a Conditional Use.** A conditional use shall be permitted upon a finding by the Town Commission that the proposed use, as proposed or with additional conditions or modifications, satisfies the criteria herein specified. A conditional use shall be denied if the Town Commission determines that the proposed use does not meet the criteria herein provided or is adverse to the public interest. The applicant shall demonstrate the following:

(1) *Land Use Compatibility.* The conditional use, including its proposed scale and intensity, traffic generating characteristics, and off-site impacts shall be compatible and harmonious with adjacent land uses and shall not adversely impact land use activities and residential areas in the immediate vicinity.

For purposes of a conditional use review, compatibility is defined as a condition in which land uses or conditions can coexist in relative proximity to each other in a stable fashion over time such that no use or condition is unduly negatively impacted directly or indirectly by another use or condition. Compatibility of land uses is dependent on numerous development characteristics, which may impact adjacent or surrounding uses. They include: type of use, density, intensity, height, general appearance and aesthetics, odors, noise, smoke, vibration, traffic generation and nuisances. The Town Manager shall recommend whether the conditional use is compatible. Compatibility shall be measured based on all of the following characteristics of the proposed use or development in relationship to surrounding development in the immediate area:

- i. Permitted uses, structures and activities allowed within the land use category.
- ii. Building location, dimensions, height, and floor area ratio.
- iii. Location and extent of parking, access drives and service areas.
- iv. Traffic generation, hours of operation, noise levels and outdoor lighting.
- v. Alteration of light and air.
- vi. Setbacks and buffers such as fences, walls, landscaping and open space treatment.
- vii. The architectural and site design are compatible with the character of the surrounding area.
- viii. Any existing or proposed signs or lighting will not adversely affect surrounding areas or vehicular traffic.

(2) *Sufficient Site Size, Site Specifications, and Infrastructure to Accommodate the Proposed Use.* The size and shape of the site, the proposed access and internal circulation, and the urban design must be adequate to accommodate the proposed scale and intensity of the conditional use requested. The site shall be of sufficient size to provide adequate screening, buffers, landscaping, open space, off-street parking, efficient internal traffic circulation, infrastructure and similar site plan improvements needed to mitigate against potential adverse impacts of the proposed use.

656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672

- (f) **Continuing Jurisdiction.** The Town Commission hereby reserves to itself the jurisdiction and authority to review and revoke conditional use permits where the use or the continuation of the use:
- (1) Violates the conditions set forth by the Town Commission as a requirement for the granting of the use;
 - (2) Is injurious to the health, safety or welfare of the community or of the public;
 - (3) Tends to attract vagrants, loiterers or habitually intoxicated persons; or
 - (4) Has a history of repeated Code violations.
- (g) **Application Fees.** Fees for conditional use permits, and for Level 1 and Level 2 Amendments shall be established by the Town Commission by resolution.

EXHIBIT 5:

COMMUNICATIONS WITH COMMISSION

Scot Sasser

From: VIPJEWELRYBUYERS@aol.com
[VIPJEWELRYBUYERS@aol.com]

Sent: Tue 5/31/2011 10:03 PM

To: Scot Sasser

Cc:

Subject: Re: (no subject)

Attachments:

OK JUST LET US NO. THANKS

DOMINICK CASALE -GIA CERTIFIED
VIP JEWELRY BUYERS
PO BOX 23879
FORT LAUDERDALE FLA
33307
954-439-2023 PH
DOM@VIPJEWELRYBUYERS.COM
WWW.VIPJEWELRYBUYERS.COM

In a message dated 5/31/2011 5:23:32 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time,
ScotSasser@lauderdalebythesea-fl.gov writes:

Dominick,

My apologies. I have been swamped with work due to the holiday. I am going to be called out of town tomorrow. Let me check my schedule for later in the week or on Monday.

Regards,

Scot Sasser
Town Commissioner Lauderdale-By-The-Sea
(954) 254-1743
scotsasser@lauderdalebythesea-fl.gov

From: VIPJEWELRYBUYERS@aol.com [mailto:VIPJEWELRYBUYERS@aol.com]
Sent: Tue 5/31/2011 10:30 AM
To: Scot Sasser
Subject: (no subject)

SCOTT ARE WE ON FOR TODAY ?? 12 IL MULINOS

DOMINICK CASALE -GIA CERTIFIED

VIP JEWELRY BUYERS
PO BOX 23879
FORT LAUDERDALE FLA
33307
954-439-2023 PH
DOM@VIPJEWELRYBUYERS.COM
WWW.VIPJEWELRYBUYERS.COM

Scot Sasser

From: VIPJEWELRYBUYERS@aol.com [VIPJEWELRYBUYERS@aol.com] **Sent:** Sat 5/28/2011 10:04 PM
To: Scot Sasser
Cc: DoMiNiQue8118@aol.com
Subject: Re: diamonds & doggies
Attachments:

Scott we totally understand . Can we meet Tuesday at 12 at il mulino on sunrise ? please confirm
 Thank you

DOMINICK CASALE -GIA CERTIFIED
VIP JEWELRY BUYERS
PO BOX 23879
FORT LAUDERDALE FLA
33307
954-439-2023 PH
DOM@VIPJEWELRYBUYERS.COM
WWW.VIPJEWELRYBUYERS.COM

In a message dated 5/28/2011 4:29:07 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time, ScotSasser@lauderdalebythesea-fl.gov writes:

I would be happy to sit down with you and your daughter to discuss. However, please keep in mind that due to Sunshine Laws I cannot be privy to any discussions you have had with other Commissioners. Let me know of a convenient time for you and your daughter and we can schedule.

Regards,

Scot Sasser
Town Commissioner Lauderdale-By-The-Sea
(954) 254-1743
scotsasser@lauderdalebythesea-fl.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: VIPJEWELRYBUYERS@aol.com [mailto:VIPJEWELRYBUYERS@aol.com]
Sent: Sat 5/28/2011 12:13 PM
To: Scot Sasser
Subject: Re: diamonds & doggies

Scott Thank You ..We like to hear that about our store ,the puppies are

like our kids!

So can I sit with you and my daughter soon to go over any open items or concerns before the hearing? We just met with the Dodds yesterday and I think it went quite well? We seen Roseann as well at the store and she also had good things to say also. let me know my number is below..thanks

DOMINICK CASALE -GIA CERTIFIED
VIP JEWELRY BUYERS
PO BOX 23879
FORT LAUDERDALE FLA
33307
954-439-2023 PH
DOM@VIPJEWELRYBUYERS.COM
WWW.VIPJEWELRYBUYERS.COM

In a message dated 5/28/2011 11:07:33 A.M. Eastern Daylight Time, ScotSasser@lauderdalebythesea-fl.gov writes:

Dominick,

Thank you for the email. I would love to meet se time in the future and get to meet your daughter as well. I did go by the South Beach store and found it quite nice. Patricia was very helpful and answered all my questions. As a matter of fact we looked at a puppy and she was going to send us some pictures of a female that she was going to get in last Monday. I guess it did not come in though because I have yet to hear from her. I found the store to be very clean and professionally run.

Thanks again for the email and I hope we can move forward soon. Government process sometimes moves slowly. I hope I never get used to it.

Regards,

Scot Sasser
Town Commissioner Lauderdale-By-The-Sea
(954) 254-1743
scotsasser@lauderdalebythesea-fl.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: VIPJEWELRYBUYERS@aol.com [

(http://www.vipjewelrybuyers.com/) mailto:VIPJEWELRYBUYERS@aol.com
(mailto:VIPJEWELRYBUYERS@aol.com)]

Sent: Tue 5/24/2011 10:10 AM

To: Scot Sasser
Subject: diamonds & doggies

Dear Commisioner Sasser

I heard you had stopped by our store and spoke to Patricia and hopefully you seen the cleanliness and caliber of business we run there in south beach....

I would like to meet you for lunch if possible with my daughter Dominique to address any of open concerns and show you our full color renderings and item for item description of our store..this week or next at your conveinence.

We currently had the commissioner Dodd and his wife at our South Beach location and I was told they were very impressed. I would like to reiterate

that this will be the most visited cleanest and attractive tourist and local

destination in town just like kilwins..We are puppies only plus a full blown jewelry store for people and puppies too! This store is what makes people happy ,little puppies ,in the store running, and clammering for love and

attention and our customers playing with them in our play area..pl us giving

them a loving home.. these dogs are all from private breeders akc and ckc registered who we have been dealing with at least 3 to 5 yrs and are taking care of just as well as our family.. Hopefully the Dodds will atest to it

I think from there visit in our south beach store...All the tourists who visit lbs and leave there beloved pets home and usually come there daily like

a ritual to visit our pups at our store.... I assure we are a family business who is the most reputable and immaculate store around..

Please let me know when we can show you our renderings and speak to you this week or next and make you feel more comfortable about your decision for

our store ..

Thanks Again

Dominic and Dominique Casale

DOMINICK CASALE -GIA CERTIFIED

VIP JEWELRY BUYERS

PO BOX 23879

FORT LAUDERDALE FLA

33307

954-439-2023 PH

DOM@VIPJEWELRYBUYERS.COM

WWW.VIPJEWELRYBUYERS.COM (<http://www.vipjewelrybuyers.com/>)

<http://www.vipjewelrybuyers.com/> (<http://www.vipjewelrybuyers.com/>)

[\(http://www.vipjewelrybuyers.com/\)](http://www.vipjewelrybuyers.com/)

EXHIBIT 6:

CONDITIONAL USE DEVELOPMENT ORDER



**CONDITIONAL USE DEVELOPMENT ORDER
TOWN OF LAUDERDALE-BY-THE-SEA, FLORIDA**

PROJECT NAME: Doggies and Diamonds

ADDRESS OF PROPERTY: 111 Commercial Boulevard

FOLIO #: 9318-01-1180

PROPERTY OWNER: FISHERMANS PIER INC

APPLICANT: DIAMONDS & DOGGIES

APPLICANT ADDRESS: 111 COMMERCIAL BOULEVARD

REQUEST: To operate a pet store with on-site overnight kenneling of the puppies which are for sale, pursuant to Sections 30-56, Conditional Uses Review, and Section 30-13, Quasi-Judicial Procedures, and Section 30-371(b) of the Lauderdale-By-The-Sea Code of Ordinances.

SECTION 1. FINDINGS. THIS MATTER came before the TOWN Commission of the TOWN of LAUDERDALE- BY-THE-SEA, Florida, on June 28, 2011, following due public notice. The TOWN Commission having considered the public testimony, evidence in the record, the testimony of the applicant, and the recommendation of the TOWN Planning and Zoning Board and administrative staff, finds that the application, as conditioned herein, will promote the public health, safety, welfare, order, comfort, convenience, appearance, or prosperity of the neighborhood and further, that the application does meet all of the criteria in Section 30-56(d), which are as follows:

1. Land Use Compatibility; and
2. Sufficient Site Size, Site Specifications, and Infrastructure; and
3. Compliance with the Comprehensive Plan and Code of Ordinances; and
4. Proper Use of Mitigative Techniques.

SECTION 2. APPROVAL. The request to operate a pet store with overnight kenneling of the puppies which are for sale is hereby approved.

SECTION 3. CONDITIONS. The APPROVAL granted herein is subject to the following conditions:

1. The use will be maintained and operated as provided in the applicant's letter of request submitted with the application received by the Development Services Department on March 24, 2011, attached as part of Exhibit 1.
2. No odors from dogs inside the premises shall be detectable from outside the premises.
3. No sounds from the dog's located onsite shall be detectable from outside the premises.
4. Dog excrements shall be removed daily from the cages.
5. The premises shall have a solid waste disposal container (dumpster or cart) which shall be emptied as often as necessary to keep animal waste odors from the container undetectable.
6. No outside display, cleaning, exercising or bathing of dogs is permitted.
7. Applicant shall maintain any and all state and county licenses.
8. The Conditional Use Permit is specific to the applicant and is not transferable.
9. Dogs will be no more than ten (10) pounds.
10. The premise is limited to fifteen (15) dogs on site at any one time.
11. Dogs shall be housed at night in an enclosed sound proof sleep room with A/C and ventilation.
12. No public kenneling or boarding of dogs is allowed.
13. The premises shall be staffed from approximately 6:30 a.m. till 10:00 p.m. each day when there are animals on the premises.
14. This development order shall be recorded in the Public Records of Broward County by the Applicant, at his/her sole cost and expense, and a certified copy of the recorded document returned to the Town.

SECTION 4. VIOLATION OF CONDITIONS. Failure to adhere to the terms and conditions of this Resolution shall be considered a violation of the Town Code and persons found violating the conditions shall be subject to the penalties prescribed by the Town Code, including but not limited to, the revocation of any of the approval(s) granted in this Resolution. The Applicant understands and acknowledges that it must comply with all other applicable requirements of the Town Code before it may commence construction or operation, and that the foregoing approval in this Resolution may be revoked

by the Town at any time upon a determination that the Applicant is in non-compliance with the Town Code or the conditions of this Approval.

SECTION 5. APPEAL. In accordance with Section 30-13(d)(12) of the Town Code, the Applicant, or any aggrieved property owner in the area, may appeal the decision of the Town Commission in the Circuit Court of Broward County, Florida, in accordance with the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

SECTION 6. EFFECTIVE DATE. This Development Order shall become effective upon Approval by the Commission.

APPROVED this ____ day of _____, 2011.

MAYOR ROSEANN MINNET

ATTEST:

June White, Town Clerk, CMC

Approved as to form:

Susan L. Trevarthen, Town Attorney